## **Overview and Scrutiny Commission 31 January 2013**

## Written submissions from GMB Branch Secretary

CS10 - Outsourcing Service Desk - 20k

Although I have requested details of how Merton plans to achieve this saving through outsourcing, Management haven't replied with this. If this will come from driving efficiencies we need to be doing this ourselves. If we don't believe we can make these savings ourselves then we need to be asking pertinent questions of ourselves. As has been seen through other scrutiny meetings and in other councils, when contracts are outsourced they become less malleable which is short-sighted within the ever changing landscape of local authorities. Outsourcing in other areas of the council led to issues such as problems around the previous cleaning company. The Household Waste Sites outsourced under the previous leadership has led to staff being paid around £2 less per hour for doing the same job and has led to significant health and safety risks on site, around asbestos and PPE. Although during the initial transfer staff will be protected by TUPE, this doesn't protect them if there iob changes or new staff that are taken on. I question the planning behind outsourcing and how it will affect the authority moving forward, this panel has the mandate to question this proposal and I hope they do so.

CS23 - Outsourcing Building Services & Security Service - 15/16 35k and 16/17 50k

Again, there are no details on this, even though they have been requested from Management over a month ago. The same points made about outsourcing that I made in CS10 still hold value here and I shall not repeat them. However, there is a few added questions with this particular saving. The Security Services provide protection for our front line staff in the Civic Centre as well as playing a vital role in building evacuations. The baseline budget for this service is £202k and this saving will reduce it by 42%, although I have not been provided with budget make-up, I would imagine that the majority of this budget is made up of staffing costs. If I was a member of the Scrutiny committee I would be extremely concerned about the safety of the building and its staff if we were to go ahead with outsourcing alongside such massive cuts. I have not had the savings explained to me despite my questions, therefore I can only consider that these savings are not going to be drawn from efficiencies otherwise our management team would already be doing this. It can only be from redundancies or reducing the payment to staff, which will lead to an increasing risk to the public, staff and buildings.

I would like to thank the chair, Councillor Southgate for allowing a written submission, I would normally attend but due to family issues I am unable. Thanks,

Keir Greenaway
GMB Merton Branch Secretary
Flat 2, First Floor Wing, Merton Civic Centre, SM4 5DX
Telephone - 020-8545-4783
Twitter @GMB\_M27

Join GMB at www.gmb.org.uk/join

Clir Edith Joan Macaulay Cabinet Member for Engagement & Equality		2012/13									
Enter a concise description of your main activities/objectives below:		550	009	009	009 009	009					N/A
		199700	199700			+					
		105	117	129	141	153					
		205	250	275	275	275					
		2012/13	2013/14	2014/15	2015/16	2016/17					
		24	24	24	20			Pol	Political		
		2012/13	2013/14		2015/16	2016/17					
		550	900	600	600	600	wol	Monthly		Anti social behaviour	ionr
		95	95		92	95	High	Monthly		Reputational risk	- <del>X</del>
		33	34	35	36	37	, E	Ouarterly		senssi pulmenbes	Sel
		3	5	3	3	5	5	Kaanony			
		43	42	41	40	39	Low	Annual		Reputational risk	×
		45	44	43	42	41	Low	Annual		Reputational risk	sk
	% perception of residents worried about crime (ARS)	52	51	920	49	48	Low	Annual		Reputational risk	sk
		105	117	129	141	153	High	Monthly	Business critical	Breach statutory duty	duty
	No. of One Stop Shop sessions	46	46	46	46	46	High	Quarterly		Reduced service delivery	elivery
		27	27	27	27	27	High	Quarterly	Business critical	Reduced service delivery	elivery
		34	33	32	31	30	Low	Annual		Reputational risk	sk
		29	30	31	32	33	High	Annual		Reputational risk	Sk
	Desired									7-11-10	
	Project		peloud				Project			Project	
3,000 - 3,187 3,189 3,187 3,212											
2,500 -											
2,000 - 4,888 +,794 +,794 +,794 -,794											
1,369											
1,000 -											
- 009											
-	г										
2012/13 2013/14 2014/15 2015/16 2016/17											
— Expenditure — Income — NET BUDGET											
2012/13 2013/14 2014/15 2015/16 2016/17	7										
3,203 3,138 3,163 3,187 3, 1,101 1,012 1,012 1,012 1.	3,212										
2 2 2	110										
167 170 172	175										
1,467 1,485 1,507 1,529 1, 358 358 358 358	1,551 358										
104 104 104	104										
1,888 1,794 1,794 1,794 1,	794										
322 322	322 1 136										
1,430 1,430 1,430 1,00 10	10										
26 26 26 0 0 0	26 0										
1,344 1,369 1,393	1,418 0		0				0			0	
135 40											

		DETAILS OF YOUR MA	DETAILS OF YOUR MAJOR WORK PROJECTS AND THEIR IMPACT	IMPACT				
	Safer Merton			NO EO FORM			i	
	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	MAJOR EXPECTED OUTCOME	Equalities	IMPACT ON: Select up to 3 services	Sustainability	Risk I ikelihood Impact	Risk	Score
,,,	2013/14 CCTV 2014/15 New CCTV service 2015/16 2016/17			Whole organisation - negative impact				
-		More efficient way of working	No impact	Children's Social Care - negative impact	Other resource reduction	4	7	œ
				Safer Merton - negative impact				
	2013/14 fulfilling statutory requirements 2014/15 fulfilling statutory requirements 2015/16 2016/17			Whole organisation - negative impact				
٠		To meet legislative requirements	No impact	Children's Social Care - negative impact	Other resource reduction	4	2	8
٧				Safer Merton - negative impact				
	. 2013/14 Risk limitation of future grant loss 2014/15 2015/16 2016/17			Whole organisation - negative impact				
۰		Income loss	Equality analysis undertaken	Children's Social Care - negative impact	Other resource reduction	4	7	8
				Safer Merton - negative impact				
	2013/14 ASB changes			Select a service				
-		Select one major outcome	Select one	Select a service	Select one	0	0	0
				Select a service				
14 (4	2013/14 Strategic Assessment 2014/15 Strategic Assessment 2015/16 Strategic Assessment 2016/17 Strategic Assessment			Select a service				
Ľ		Select one major outcome	Select one	Select a service	Select one	0	0	0
				Select a service				
5	2013/14 MARAC Quality Assurance 2014/15 MARAC Quality Assurance 2015/16 MARAC Quality Assurance 2016/17 MARAC Quality Assurance			Select a service				
ď		Select one major outcome	Select one	Select a service	Select one	0	0	0
				Select a service				
[	2013/14 Partnership Plan 2014/15 Partnership plan 2015/16 Partnership Plan 2016/17 Partnership Plan			Select a service				
,		Select one major outcome	Select one	Select a service	Select one	0	0	0
				Select a service				
14 (A	2013/14 Alcohol treatment plan 2014/15 Alcohol treatment plan 2015/16 Alcohol treatment plan 2016/17 Alcohol treatment plan			Select a service				
α			Select one	Select a service	Select one	0	0	0
				Select a service				
<u>-</u> [	2013/14 Adult treatment plan 2014/15 Adult treatment plan refresh 2015/16 Adult treatment plan refresh 2016/17 Adult treatment plan refresh			Select a service				
σ		Select one major outcome	Select one	Select a service	Select one	0	0	0
				Select a service				
<u>-</u> [	2013/14 2014/15 Adult treatment plan refresh 2015/16 Drug needs assessment 2016/17 Drug needs assessment			Select a service				
Ę		Select one major outcome	Select one	Select a service	Select one	0	0	0
2				Select a service				